

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/517,656	12/13/2004	Hitoshi Kidokoro	Q84298	1603
23373 759	90 10/17/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE M		HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2000	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/517,656	KIDOKORO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	;		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	uaust 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the appl	ication.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 6 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🔯	The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2004 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	i2.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	l19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Conjugate the partition against at the priority	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau		eceived in this National Stage	8		
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		aceived			
	see the attached actaned chief action for a list	or the defined dopies hat re	ocived.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date Dimal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,656

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP57186378A in view of JP405022941A and in view of JP358141689A and JP407111427A. JP57186378A sets forth a laser device wherein a laser beam is output by discharging current at a power pulse train with a higher frequency than the laser output response frequency, and indicates that the laser is controlled by thinning out or proportioning this power pulse train. Applicant has stated that "the laser output power... is densely or rarely controlled using the discharging electric power of each pulse being constant... the number of pulse trains is set based on the strength of laser

Art Unit: 1725

output power." JP405022941A describes general well known cost reduction by pulse modulating in response to pulse width. JP358141689A and JP407111427A describe control for motor and volume control using pulse trains controlled in response to pulse width. Having a power source output a pulse train and being controlled by pulse width would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to reduce operation cost. The use of a power pulse train with a higher frequency than the laser output response frequency is the same as setting the switching cycle to be faster than the time constant of discharge power and laser output, and controlling the overall width of this thinned or proportioned pulse train would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to obtain output control having no dead band.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP57186378A in view of JP405022941A and in view of JP358141689A and JP407111427A as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP403011904A. JP403011904A describe reducing pulse width variations by switching modes. The use thereof with JP57186378A would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to reduce or prevent sudden laser output change.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,656

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

Samuel M. Hens